Non-destructive evaluation of absolute stress in steel members
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Abstract
Non-destructive measurement of absolute stress in steel members can provide useful information to optimize the structures’ design and allow the safety of existing structures to be evaluated. This paper investigates the non-destructive capability of ultrasonic shear-wave spectroscopy in absolute stress evaluation of steel members. The effect of steel-member stress on the shear-wave amplitude spectrum is investigated, and a method of absolute stress measurement is proposed. Specifically, the process for evaluating absolute stress using shear-wave spectroscopy is summarized. Two steel members are employed to investigate the relationship between the stress and the frequency in shear-wave echo amplitude spectrum. The H-beam loaded by the universal testing machine is evaluated using the proposed method and the traditional strain gauge method for verification. The results show that the proposed method is effective and accurate for determining absolute stress in steel members.
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1. Introduction
Absolute stress in structural steel members plays an important role in the design and analysis of steel structures. Non-destructive measurement of absolute stress in steel members can provide useful information to optimize the structures’ design and allow the safety of existing structures to be evaluated [1]. One of the promising directions of all non-destructive methods for absolute stresses measurement is the application of ultrasound [2]. Ultrasonic stress measurement is based on the acoustoelastic effect [3]. In the elastic range, the velocity of ultrasound varies linearly with material stress when a wave propagates in the material. Compared with other methods, ultrasonic stress measurement method has advantages in terms of both cost and flexibility [4]. This makes ultrasonic method one of the most promising directions in absolute stress non-destructive measurement.

In recent years, the most widely studied ultrasonic stress measurement technique is critically refracted longitudinal (Lcr) wave method [5]. The Lcr wave is a bulk longitudinal wave [6]. It can propagate underneath the steel member surface with a certain penetration depth [7, 8]. It is studied by Egle and Bray [9, 10] that Lcr waves exhibit the largest sensitivity to stress among all ultrasonic waves and are least affected by material texture. In addition, the Lcr technique does not require opposite parallel surfaces and so does not impose any strict geometric limitations on the test specimens [11]. All these features make Lcr waves the best candidate for evaluation of absolute stress [12, 13]. Although certain progress has been achieved in the development of different experimental techniques, methods and devices that investigate the application of ultrasonic methods to measure the absolute stress of steel members remain rare in the literature [2]. The main reason is that the ultrasonic wave velocity is not very sensitive to stress, i.e., the change of the ultrasonic wave velocity and time-of-flight (TOF) are hard to be measure. For example, a 100-MPa stress change corresponds to a velocity change of less than 1% [14]. In addition, ultrasonic propagation characteristics are influenced by factors such as grain size [15], material texture [16], and coupling conditions [17], all of which influence the change in ultrasonic wave velocity and TOF more so than does absolute stress.

Realizing the defects of velocity and TOF measurement methods, some scholars proposed the use of ultrasonic power spectrum to measure absolute stress, which is based on the birefringence of shear waves [18]. One advantage of this method is that it is less affected by environmental
noise. Blinka and Sachse [19] investigated the interference between two decomposed shear waves in stressed aluminium and found the echo power spectrum exhibited periodic stress-induced minima, which laid the foundation for stress measurement using ultrasonic power spectrum method. In recent years, there is no new research works in the field of absolute stress evaluation using ultrasonic shear-wave spectroscopy. In fact, research into the use of ultrasonic power spectrum to measure absolute stress has yet to yield a systematic method for doing so. In general, stress measurement using shear-wave spectroscopy requires further investigation. In this paper, a non-destructive evaluation approach to measure the absolute stress of steel members using ultrasonic shear-wave spectroscopy is proposed. A formula is derived for steel member absolute stress measurement based on ultrasonic shear-wave birefringence and acoustoelasticity theory. Two steel members made of Q235 steel are employed to investigate the relationship between the stress and the frequency corresponding to the minimum value in shear-wave echo amplitude spectrum. On this basis, the absolute stress of steel member loaded by a test machine is measured by the proposed method and the results are validated.

2. Theory

In a free state, the velocity of ultrasonic shear waves in an acoustically isotropic metallic material is independent of the directions of propagation and polarization. When a metallic material is subjected to stress, the stress causes acoustic anisotropy of the material. When a beam of shear waves travels from one medium to another, it separates into two shear-wave modes at the interface. Shear waves whose polarization directions are parallel and perpendicular to the stress direction have different velocities [20]. This phenomenon is known as birefringence, and is particular to shear waves. The two separated wave components propagate simultaneously with different velocities and produce interference effects. The shear-wave pulse-echo amplitude spectrum exhibits a periodic minimum value that sensitive to stress. Figure 1 shows the shear-wave pulse-echo amplitude spectra variation trend when a steel member is under the stress of 0, 100 MPa, 200 MPa, 300 MPa and 400 MPa, respectively. It can be seen that the frequency corresponding to the minimum value in shear-wave echo amplitude spectrum decrease with stress. Therefore, this relationship has potential to be used to indicate the stress in the material. For the sake of illustration, compressive stress of the steel members is positive and tensile stress is negative. The relationship between the frequency and the stress is expressed by the following equation [19]:

\[ \sigma = \frac{\kappa}{f^*_1} - \gamma \]  

In Eq. (1), \( \sigma \) is the stress; \( f^*_1 \) is defined as the first characteristic frequency (FCF); \( \kappa \) and \( \gamma \) are two parameters containing the information of steel member material and thickness, they should be determined through the uniaxial test.

3. Experimental study

3.1 Absolute stress measurement system and method

The photograph and schematic diagram of the measurement system, shown in Fig. 2, includes an ultrasonic generator, a shear-wave transceiver probe and an oscilloscope. The ultrasonic generator can transmit pulse signals and shunts them into the shear-wave transceiver probe. The pure shear wave is then generated and propagates in steel member. After being reflected by the rear side of steel member, the shear wave is received by the same probe and transmitted to the ultrasonic generator. The received signal is finally displayed on the oscilloscope. The Fourier analysis is used to study the signal’s amplitude spectrum.

2
3.2 Absolute stress measurement method

Based on the theory and the absolute stress measurement system, a five-step framework for the measurement of stresses of steel members is proposed. The flowchart is shown in Fig. 3. The detailed process is as follows:

1. Replication of the tested structural steel member. The tested structural steel members are usually non-removable after installation. Since the calibration of two parameters in Eq. (1) should be performed on the original steel member, a steel member with the same material and thickness to the tested structural steel member should be used as a replication member.

2. Measurement of the FCF corresponding to each stress condition in replication member through the uniaxial test. A group of axial forces ($\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$) are applied on the replication member. The shear-wave echo signals in each corresponding stress conditions are recorded. The first pulse-echo signals are converted into the amplitude spectrum and the FCFs are extracted from the amplitude spectra. Then, the FCF and the corresponding stress (($1/f_1^* \cdot \sigma_1), (1/f_2^* \cdot \sigma_2), \ldots, (1/f_n^* \cdot \sigma_n)$), can be obtained.

3. Calibration of the two parameters for the replication member. This step is the core of the proposed method. The parameters to be calculated are $\kappa$ and $\gamma$ in Eq. (1). Based on the data from step (2), the inverse of FCF and the corresponding stress (($1/f_1^* \cdot \sigma_1), (1/f_2^* \cdot \sigma_2), \ldots, (1/f_n^* \cdot \sigma_n)$) can be calculated. The relationship between the inverse of FCF and the stress can be fitted using the least squares method. The parameters $\kappa$ and $\gamma$ are then calibrated.
(4) Measurement of the FCF in the tested steel member. The shear-wave transceiver probe is placed on the tested steel member and the echo signal is collected. The first pulse-echo signal is converted into the amplitude spectrum and the FCF of the tested steel member can be extracted from the amplitude spectrum.

(5) Calculation of the absolute stress of the tested steel member. By substituting the calibrated parameters $\kappa$ and $\gamma$, and the measured FCF into Eq. (1), absolute stress of the tested steel member can be determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Replication of the tested structural steel member.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Measurement of the FCF corresponding to each stress condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Calibration of the two parameters for the replication member.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Measurement of the FCF in the tested steel member.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Calculation of the absolute stress of the tested steel member.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Flow chart of ultrasonic method

4. Experimental study

4.1 Test sample

Components made of Q235 steel are widely used in engineering structure field, which makes it one of the most important and typical components. This paper choose specimens made of Q235 steel as test objects to study how to evaluate the absolute stress using the proposed method. Two steel blocks, named sample A and sample B, and one H-beam, named sample C, are employed as test specimens. Their dimensions and photographs are shown in Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively.

Figure 4. Dimension of sample A

Figure 5. Dimension of sample B
4.2 Calibration of the parameters

Sample A and sample B are employed to investigate the relationship between the stress and the FCF in amplitude spectrum. The two specimens were loaded in compression from zero stress, and each load was held for 10 min. Then, the shear-wave echo signals and the corresponding stress data were recorded as illustrated in the second and third step of stress measurement process. The stress values and corresponding FCFs were measured. Using the least squares method, linear relationships between the stresses and the inverse of FCFs were fitted, as shown in Fig. 7. Based on these relationships, the parameters $\kappa$ and $\gamma$ of sample A and sample B were calibrated.

\begin{align*}
\text{Sample A} & : \sigma = \frac{1699.7}{f_i^2} - 1149.8 \\
R^2 &= 0.9991 \\
\text{Sample B} & : \sigma = \frac{13226.3}{f_i^2} - 1154.9 \\
R^2 &= 0.9982
\end{align*}

4.3 Absolute stress measurement of steel member

Based on Eq. (1) and the calibrated parameters $\kappa$ and $\gamma$, the absolute stress of the tested steel member can be calculated via measurement of the FCF. Sample C loaded by the testing machine was chosen as the tested steel member. Because the thickness and material of sample A and flange of sample C is equal, samples A should be the replication member of the H-beam flange plate. Similarly, samples B is the replication member of the H-beam web plate. Therefore, the calculation of absolute stress in flange and web plate should use the parameters calibrated by sample A and B, respectively.

Under laboratory conditions, a set of random axial loads were applied to sample C in axis direction using the universal testing machine. The first pulse-echo signal was collected and the FCF was extracted from the amplitude spectrum under each loading condition. The applied
stress was measured using the proposed method and the measurement results are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison between two methods of sample C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H-beam flange plate</th>
<th>H-beam web plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ultrasonic method (MPa)</td>
<td>Strain gauge method (MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.9</td>
<td>107.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137.5</td>
<td>132.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.6</td>
<td>150.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179.2</td>
<td>175.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198.0</td>
<td>191.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>217.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ultrasonic method (MPa)</td>
<td>Strain gauge method (MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.1</td>
<td>143.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162.6</td>
<td>158.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178.2</td>
<td>186.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218.6</td>
<td>213.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235.9</td>
<td>229.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Validation of the proposed method

To verify the stress measured by the proposed method, traditional strain gauge method was employed to verify the measured absolute stress values. Before the load was applied to sample C, strain gauges were attached on the surface of sample C. The stresses of the steel members are calculated based on the product of Young’s modulus and the measured strain, which is listed in Table 1. The results measured by both methods are compared and listed in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. Comparison between two methods for samples C](image)

4. Results and discussions

Figure 8 shows that the inverse of FCF and its corresponding stress in the samples A and B exhibit an almost perfect linear relationship with a fitting error of less than 1%. The fitting results verify the correctness of Eq. (1). The absolute-stress measurement results for sample C and the strain gauge measurement values are listed in Table 1. The results show that the difference between the stresses measured by the two methods is less than 5% for every single test. This demonstrates the reliability and accuracy of the proposed method.

To facilitate validation of the proposed method, the loaded specimen was taken as the test object. In many cases, the structural steel members are mainly subjected to axial force with their both
ends fixed. Therefore, it is reasonable to some extent to employ loaded specimens as the test objects to verify the proposed method. It should be pointed out that there are some characteristics of proposed method. First, this method focuses on the change of the FCF in amplitude spectrum and takes no account of the variation of ultrasound TOF. Second, the whole process of measurement is non-destructive. Third, the measurement system is consisted of portable equipment, and the equipment is low cost. All these advantages make it convenient for field stress evaluation, from pre-operation stage to the service operation.

5. Conclusions

This paper confirms the potential of the ultrasonic shear-wave spectroscopy method in measurement of the absolute stress of steel members. The relationship between the stress and the FCF in shear-wave echo amplitude spectrum is studied using two steel members. The absolute stresses of H-beam loaded by the universal testing machine are evaluated by the proposed method and verified by strain gauge method. According to the achieved results, the conclusions are summarized as follows.

(1) Absolute stress causes the amplitude spectrum to change. When steel member stresses increase, the change in the amplitude-spectrum value corresponding to the FCF is increasingly obvious.

(2) The FCF values decrease with stress and the inverse of FCF and its corresponding stress exhibit an almost perfect linear relationship.

(3) The calibration of the parameters should be implemented on replication member with same thickness and materials to the tested object.

(4) The ultrasonic shear-wave spectroscopy method has logically evaluated the stress quantity of the loaded H-beam. Its validity is confirmed with the strain gauge method.
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