APPLICATION OF ACOUSTIC EMISSION ON CRUSHING MONITORING OF INDIVIDUAL SOIL PARTICLES IN UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST
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Abstract

Soil grain breakage has a significant influence on the performance of the geotechnical system. Due to the nature of the underground environment, it is hard to track the soil grain breakage process in both temporal and spatial dimensions. Hence, the use of non-destructive Acoustic Emission (AE) technique to characterise the soil breakage is explored in this work. This study particularly focuses on the individual soil grains, and aims to distinguish the crack formation of the individual silica sand particles with different sizes and shape under uniaxial compression. AE parameters and the signal waveforms at each particle crushing point are analysed. It is found that the AE parameters changing trend match well with the mechanics behaviour in the test, with this, the crack of the silica sand particle could be detected. What is more, in the frequency domain analysis, the difference in the frequency distribution at critical crushing hit within different silica sand test has been found and the possible reason are shown.
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1. Introduction

Acoustic Emission (AE) monitoring technique has been used in various engineering applications mainly for the assessment of damage and failure of brittle materials [1], evaluation of the response of retrofitted reinforced concrete elements [2], detection of the onset and position of failure in fiber reinforced composite materials [3-6], and monitoring of large bridge structures [7]. In geomechanics, pioneering work of Koerner and co-workers [8-11] and more recently [12-15] used the AE technique to assess the stability of soil slopes. Correlations between the characteristics of the acoustic emission in soils subjected to oedometric compression, triaxial testing, cone penetrometer tests, direct shear and deformation properties, including particle crushing have been established by [16-21].

The study of soil breakage phenomena is difficult and complex [22-35]. However, insight into internal mechanisms can firstly be gained through the study of individual soil particles under loading. This study focuses on the use of AE technique for breakage characterisation of discrete silica sand grains under uniaxial compression loading. The discussion of the test results is divided into two parts. In the first part, the observed mechanical response of the silica sand particle during the uniaxial compression test is presented. The relation between the mechanical response and the particle size is also discussed. In the second part, the associated AE parameters which are recorded during the whole uniaxial compression test are analysed. Finally, the statistical analyses of the AE parameters at the crushing point are presented and some correlation between these parameters and the behaviour established.
2. Material

In this work, Leighton Buzzard sand [26-29] which contains about 96% of SiO2 has been selected. The size of the particles has been defined in terms of the equivalent area diameter \(d_a\) [36] which is the diameter of the circle which has the same area with the projection area of the particle outlet observed in an optical microscope. The equivalent area diameter, \(d_a\), of the silica particles considered in this study is between 1.47 mm and 2.26 mm. Qic-Pic measurements [37] and optical 2D microscope analysis [38] have been used for shape description. The latter measurement technique has been used in this work. The shape descriptors are measured on 2D particle projections of the real 3D particles. These can only be statistically representative if the 2D projections are obtained from particle orientations that are randomly oriented in space [38]. While recognising that such data is not normally attainable using microscopy, in this study, the shape descriptors and \(d_a\) for an individual particle have been evaluated based on six microscope images of the particle placed in different positions on the microscope set up as shown in figure 1. Then the shape descriptors have been evaluated from these pictures in Matlab, and the average values recorded. The circularity, \(C = (4\pi A)/(P^2)\), irregularity, \(IR = d_{imax}/d_{cmin}\), and aspect ratio, \(AR = d_{fmin}/d_{fmax}\), [36] average values for 60 silica particles are given in table 1, where \(A\) and \(P\) (figure 2a) are the area and the perimeter of the particle projection, respectively, \(d_{imax}\) is the diameter of maximum inscribed circle, \(d_{cmin}\) is the diameter of minimum circumscribed, \(d_{fmax}\) is the maximum ferret diameter and \(d_{fmin}\) is the minimum ferret diameter (all defined in figure 2b). According to the suggested circularity classification [41], the mean value of circularity among the 60 silica particle is 0.566, which means this group of silica sands has a low circularity.

![Figure 1. Photos of one silica sand particle in six positions in microscope](image1)

![Figure 2. Photos of silica sand in microscope and Matlab](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>da (mm)</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>2.260</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Test setting

The uniaxial compression test on individual particles uses a displacement controlled electromechanical loading frame (figure 3). Each particle was loaded between two rigid steel platens, of which one is fixed to the loading ram that incorporates an LVDT for vertical displacement measurements and a 5 KN-load cell. The lower platen moves upwards with a speed of 0.05 mm/min.

During the crushing test, two piezoelectric sensors with a bandwidth between 10 kHz and 1 MHz record the acoustic emission signals. The first AE sensor (AE 1), which links to channel 1 of the AE acquisition system, is fixed within the steel base plate, just below the particle at a depth of about 1 cm by means of a mechanical system that ensures a constant holding force (figure 3). The second AE sensor (AE 2), which links to channel 2, is simply placed on the base plate at a distance of about 4 cm from the particle. For both sensors, silicon grease is also used as a coupler. During the crushing test, the resulting vertical force and vertical displacement are recorded, while the AE system allows the acquisition of the acoustic bursts. The typical AE acquisition system setting parameters are listed in table 2.

![Diagram of loading system](image)

Table 2. Typical settings of the AE acquisition system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling rate</td>
<td>5 MSPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording length</td>
<td>5 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preamplifier gain</td>
<td>40 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold of detection</td>
<td>40 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results & discussions

4.1 Uniaxial compression test

Although the shape and the size of the silica sand particles are not identical, the observed uniaxial compression crushing response follows a similar pattern. A typical force-displacement response obtained during the compression tests is shown in figure 4. In general, no visible cracks are observed during the uniaxial loading test (although for some particles, local crushings possibly of asperities were observed before the final crush, see figure 8), and at some point the sand particle crushes without any warning in a brittle mode. Several small
fragments are generated and ejected in random directions. The maximum force recorded at the crushing point is defined as critical failure force, $F_c$. For a particle, the tensile stress, $\sigma$, developed is $F_c/d^2$, where $d$ is the particle diameter [32], which in our case, is taken to be $d_a$. There is some variation of the critical tensile stress among all the tested silica sand particles but overall the data fits well the results obtained by different authors [26] on similar sand (figure 5) which show a decrease of $\sigma$ with the increase of the size of the silica sand particles. It has also been observed that for some particles, some slight rotation occurred during the loading, and that may explain the change of the force rate evolution observed in force-displacement curves (figure 4). As discussed by [39], in this case, both the normal and the shear forces contribute to the particle crushing and affects the observed force-displacement response.

![Figure 4. Typical force-displacement line of a silica sand particle under uniaxial compression](image)

![Figure 5. Critical tension stress versus diameter of the silica sand particles (asterisk) and data from Y. Nakata et al [26] on similar sand (hollow circles)](image)

### 4.2 AE analysis

During the whole uniaxial compression test, the acoustic emission has continuously been recorded by the AE system. We combine the observed mechanical behaviour with the AE parameters during the whole uniaxial compression test. A representation of an AE signal and some associated AE parameters like AE Amplitude, AE Duration, Rise Time, AE Counts and AE Threshold are shown in figure 6. Additional parameters like ASL (Average Signal Level) which is the average of all the amplitudes of the signal can also be deduced. Examples of AE burst signals recorded at the critical crushing point for three particles are shown in figure 7. These three particles have a similar equivalent area diameter, $d_a$, and the shape descriptors of two of them, designated as silica particle 27 and silica particle 30, are close to the mean value of the 60 silica sand particles, while the shape descriptors like Aspect Ratio and Irregularity of
The shape descriptors, critical force and tension stress of the three particles are given in Table 3.

Figure 6. Typical AE burst signal and some associated AE parameters

Figure 7. Original AE burst signal recorded at the critical crushing point for three silica particles: (a) silica 15, (b) silica 27, (c) silica 30
Table 3. Shape descriptors, maximum compression force and corresponding tension stress for the three chosen particles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle Name</th>
<th>$d_2$ (mm)</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Fc (N)</th>
<th>tension stress (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>28.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>34.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>31.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the data recorded by the AE system, the Amplitude of the signals at the critical crushing hit reaches 99 dB (figure 8), while the Average Signal Level (ASL) ranges from 20dB to 75dB. The cumulative ASL of all the hits during the tests (figure 9) shows different evolutions for the three tests. It seems that the Amplitude of the recorded signal is a good parameter that may allow the detection of the crushing point of the silica particle, while the value of the ASL and cumulated ASL at the crushing point do not appear to be related to the particle shape and mechanical response information. But the trend of the cumulated ASL matches well with the trend of the axial force, and a drop in the force is well replicated by the cumulative ASL with a sharp jump. When the axial loading is close to the critical crushing force, the slope of the cumulated ASL-time relation increases rapidly. To some degree, this could be used as a way to detect the occurrence of particle crushing.

Figure 8. Force-time vs. Amplitude-ASL (Average signal level) at critical crushing hit of the three tests

Figure 9. Force-Cumulated Average Signal Level-time diagram of the three tests
4.3 Frequency domain analysis of AE signals

The recorded waveforms at the crushing point (figure 7) are also analyzed in the frequency domain which is based on Welch's power spectral density estimate method [40] and was conducted using Matlab software package. The results for the three particles are shown in figure 10. While the maximum amplitudes correspond to different frequencies for all three particles, the succession of the peak frequencies appears to match well, especially for the silica 27 and silica 30. The peak frequencies for silica 15 are displayed differently and that suggests that the shape of the particle may affect the AE specific signature. In a bulk soil formed by an agglomerate of different particles, this may help discriminate between them if crushing occurs.

![Graphs of frequency domain analysis for silica 15, silica 27, and silica 30.](Image)

Figure 10. Results of frequency domain analysis: (a) silica sand particle 15, (b) silica sand particle 27, (c) silica sand particle 30.
5. Conclusion

This study focuses on the behaviour of individual silica particles under uniaxial compression loading. The long term objective is the study of crushing phenomena in granular media and the development of non-destructive detection methods for fracture particle characterisation. This study uses the AE technique alongside with mechanical loading of particles and it shows that some AE parameters derived from the analysis of recorded signals can be useful for tracking of the particle fractures as well as crushing identification. Furthermore, the analysis of the recorded signals in the frequency domain appears to be related to the particle shape. Further work is ongoing to establish other criteria for identification of crushing in assemblies of particles. The study is also extended to a large variety of particles.
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